

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/590,951	11/08/2006	Franz jun. Neuhofer	NEUHOFER, JR16 PCT	3739
25889 7590 12/13/2010 COLLARD & ROE, P.C.			EXAMINER	
1077 NORTH	ERN BOULEVARD		TRIGGS, ANDREW J	
ROSLYN, NY 11576			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3635	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/13/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)		
	10/590,951	NEUHOFER, FRANZ JUN.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Andrew J. Triggs	3635		

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --1. X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this

THE REPLY FILED 22 November 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, whi application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3	
for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following	ıg time
periods:	
a) 🔀 The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, which no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILE MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	D WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office as et forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.74(b).	extension fee action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL	
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>	
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered beca (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); 	iuse
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the appeal; and/or	issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PT	OL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	OL OL+).
So Application by the description of the following repeatories; Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment on non-allowable claim(s).	canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an exp	lanation of

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) objected to: ___ Claim(s) rejected: _ AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

Claim(s) allowed:

8. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).

9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. X The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.

 Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 13. Other:

how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

/Andrew J Triggs/ Examiner, Art Unit 3635

/Basil Katcheves/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3635 Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Examiner thanks the Applicant for addressing the 112 rejection with the supplied figure and explanation. The Examiner would prefer this to be in an Amendment to the Drawings and Specification. The Applicant also argues the use of the Stanchfield and specifically that Stanchfield has a tab on the underside of the cover preventing it from lying flat on the floor. In Stanchfield, the cover has a tongue and the compensating strip has the corresponding groove. The Examiner has used the case law of In Re Einstein which states a mere reversal of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art and stands by this rejection.